Ropivacaine vs. levobupivacaine: Analgesic effect of combined spinal-epidural anesthesia during childbirth and effects on neonatal Apgar scores, as well as maternal vital signs
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Abstract. The present study aimed to investigate and compare the analgesic effect and safety of ropivacaine or levobupivacaine in combined spinal-epidural anesthesia during childbirth and their effects on neonatal Apgar scores, as well as maternal and neonatal vital signs. A total of 615 maternal patients undergoing labor between April 2016 and March 2017 were divided into two groups according to the analgesic used for combined spinal-epidural anesthesia during childbirth: The ropivacaine group (n=318) and the levobupivacaine group (n=297). The onset time of analgesia in the two groups was determined and the pain score on the visual analog scale was assessed at the time of delivery (T3). At pre-analgesia, 30 min after analgesia (T2), at T3 and during maternal wound suturing (T4), the systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and heart rate (HR) were assessed. The cesarean section rate, neonatal 1- and 5-min Apgar scores and neonatal asphyxia at T4 were also determined. The onset time of analgesia in the ropivacaine group was significantly reduced compared with that in the levobupivacaine group (P<0.05). At T2 and T4, the SBP was significantly higher in the levobupivacaine group than that in the ropivacaine group (P<0.05). At T2, T3 and T4, the DBP was significantly lower in the levobupivacaine group compared with those in the ropivacaine group (P<0.05). At T2, the HR was significantly lower in the levobupivacaine group than that in the ropivacaine group (P<0.05). The cesarean section rate was significantly lower in the ropivacaine group compared with that in the levobupivacaine group [4.09% (n=13) vs. 22.89% (n=68); P<0.01]. In conclusion, the use of combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine or levobupivacaine has an excellent analgesic effect during childbirth. However, compared with levobupivacaine, ropivacaine for labor analgesia had a faster onset and a lesser impact on maternal vital signs, and was associated with a reduced maternal cesarean section rate among patients who did not opt for cesarean section in the beginning; therefore, it is useful in clinical practice.

Introduction

Pain is an unavoidable physiological process that mothers experience during natural childbirth (1). According to the Finnerup pain score (2), the highest pain level occurs during delivery. In clinical practice, a vaginal delivery is preferred, but for patients who cannot tolerate severe pain or who encounter complications that threaten the mother's or baby's survival, a cesarean delivery may be performed (3). At present, ~42.35% of deliveries worldwide are performed by cesarean section, and in certain developed countries and regions, the cesarean section rate is even higher (4). Huang et al (5) indicated that the cesarean section rate has increased every year since 2010 due to a large proportion of females being unable to overcome their inner fear of labor pain during childbirth. However, Mylonas and Friese (6) determined that the average recovery period from a cesarean section was 3-5 weeks longer than recovery from a vaginal delivery, and since the neonate does not pass through the birth canal during the cesarean section, their lungs and nerve endings are not stimulated. Therefore, cesarean delivery may affect the newborn's growth and development.

Clinical application of anesthesia during labor and delivery to reduce the negative impact of pain is common. The most frequently used method is combined spinal-epidural anesthesia. Under local anesthesia of the maternal lumbar nerves and below, the patient's mind remains awake, supporting the normal delivery process (7).

The most commonly used drug for traditional combined spinal-epidural anesthesia is levobupivacaine. Levobupivacaine is safer than bupivacaine and is less toxic to the central nervous system and heart. However, in recent years, continuous studies have indicated that females experienced a certain degree of cardiac dysfunction after the application of levobupivacaine in combined spinal-epidural anesthesia. Neonatal nerves may also be damaged by the use of anesthetic drugs used for cesarean
section (8). At present, with the increasing cesarean section rate in clinical practice, research is urgently required in order to determine how to reduce the impact of anesthetic drugs on mothers and neonates during cesarean deliveries. There have been advances in research and medical technology in recent years (9-11), from which the application of ropivacaine has evolved. Ropivacaine is a recently developed local anesthetic drug. It is suitable for anesthesia during maternal delivery and has little impact on the uterus, placenta and maternal hemodynamics (12). Kathuria et al (13) reported that the use of combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine greatly reduces adverse reactions in maternal females, and has a better effect than traditional anesthetic drugs. In 2016, combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine has been introduced for application during the delivery process.

In the present study, the use of combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine for deliveries at our hospital since 2016 was retrospectively analyzed. The present analysis aimed to validate that the use of combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine is beneficial regarding the safety of mothers and neonates, provide a useful reference for clinical use and promote the use of ropivacaine in combined spinal-epidural anesthesia.

Materials and methods

General information. A retrospective analysis of 615 maternal patients who delivered between April 2016 and March 2017 at Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center (Guangzhou, China) was performed. The inclusion criteria were as follows: Full-term pregnancy, no contraindications for intraspinal anesthesia, use of combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine or levobupivacaine, vertex presentation of a singleton pregnancy and the availability of complete medical records. Based on these criteria, 942 patients were considered for inclusion in the study. Ultimately, 615 patients were included in the study. All of these patients provided written informed consent. The patients were divided into two groups based on the drugs used during delivery: The ropivacaine group (combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine) included 318 cases and the levobupivacaine group (combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine and levobupivacaine) included 297 cases. Each procedure was divided into two groups based on the drugs used during delivery: The ropivacaine group (combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine) included 318 cases and the levobupivacaine group (combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine and levobupivacaine) included 297 cases. Each procedure was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center (Guangzhou, China) and conformed with the Intrapartum Care: Care of Healthy Women and Their Babies During Childbirth guidelines (14) of the National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health.

Anesthetic method. The patients underwent dural puncture through the L2-3 space when the cervix was dilated by 3-4 cm. The catheter was inserted 3 cm, after which ropivacaine or levobupivacaine was injected (4 ml each; concentration, 0.125%) with micro-pump. After 10 min, 4 ml ropivacaine or 4 ml levobupivacaine containing 2 μg/ml sufentanil were again injected, and this was repeated a total of 3 times at 30-min intervals. The amount of controlled analgesia was set to 4 ml and the time was set to 15 min. After delivery, the micro-pump was turned off. If the maternal patient was still unable to give birth normally after anesthesia, a cesarean section was performed.

Parameters observed. The clinical data collected were age, weight and gestational age. The onset of analgesia was measured from the initial injection of the drug to the disappearance of pain in the patient. A visual analog pain scale (15) was used to assess the maternal patient's pain at T3, with 0 representing no pain and 10 representing unbearable pain. Systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and heart rate (HR) were measured at pre-analgesia (T1), 30 min after analgesia (T2), at the time of delivery (T3) and at the time of wound suturing (T4). The cesarean section rate, neonatal 1- and 5-min Apgar scores (14), and neonatal asphyxia at T4, as well as the incidence of post-dural puncture headache (PDPH) after anesthesia was also analyzed in the two groups.

Statistical analysis. SPSS version 22.0 statistical software (IBM Corp.) was used to analyze and process the data. Enumeration data, including patient age and analgesia onset time, were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation, and the t-test was used for comparisons between the two groups. Measurement data, including cesarean section and neonatal asphyxia, were expressed as rates. The Chi-squared test was used for group comparisons. Repeated-measures analysis of variance with Bonferroni's post-hoc test was used for comparison among multiple time-points. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Comparison of clinical data between the two groups. As presented in Table I, there was no significant difference in age, maternal body weight, gestational age or blood test results between the two groups (P>0.05). Thus, the two groups were comparable, ensuring reliable results.

Comparison of analgesia onset time and pain scores between the two groups. The onset time of analgesia in the ropivacaine group (3.84±0.54 min) was significantly faster than that in the levobupivacaine group (6.94±1.04 min; P<0.05). There was no significant difference in pain scores between the two groups (P>0.05; Figs. 1 and 2; Table II).

Comparison of vital signs of maternal patients between the two groups. There was no significant difference in SBP between the two groups at T1 (P>0.05). The SBP was significantly higher in the levobupivacaine group compared with that in the ropivacaine group at T2 and T4 (P<0.05), while the SBP was significantly lower in the levobupivacaine group compared with that in the ropivacaine group at T3 (P<0.05). Observation of the trend of SBP in the two groups indicates that from the start of analgesia, SBP remained stable in the ropivacaine group from T2 but steadily decreased in the levobupivacaine group.
There was no significant difference in DBP between the two groups at T1 (P>0.05). The DBP was significantly lower in the levobupivacaine group compared with that in the ropivacaine group at T2 (P<0.05), but the HR in the levobupivacaine group was significantly higher than that in the ropivacaine group at T3 and T4 (P<0.05). Regarding the trend of HR changes in the two groups, the ropivacaine group maintained a stable downward trend after analgesia, while in the levobupivacaine group, the HR increased after the onset of analgesia, while the ropivacaine group exhibited a steady downward trend (Fig. 5; Table III).

**Comparison of delivery method and neonates.** The cesarean section rate was significantly lower in the ropivacaine group (n=13, 4.09%) compared with that in the levobupivacaine group (n=68, 22.89%; P<0.01). The neonatal asphyxia rate was not significantly different between the ropivacaine group (0.63%) and the levobupivacaine group (1.01%; P=0.60). There was no significant difference in the 1- and 5-min Apgar scores between the two groups (P>0.05). The incidence of PDPH in the ropivacaine group was 17.61%, and that in the
levobupivacaine group was 20.88%; the difference was not statistically significant ($P>0.05$; Table IV).

**Discussion**

During the vaginal delivery process, the fetus passes through the uterus and narrow vagina, and paroxysmal contractions and friction from the movement of the fetus stimulate the mother's nerve endings and produce nerve impulses that pass from the lumbar plexus to the brain, producing a sense of severe pain during the delivery process (16,17). Delivery does not only cause pain for the mother, but is also associated with negative emotions, including anxiety and fear. These also affect the vaginal delivery success rate (18,19). Cesarean section may effectively reduce pain during childbirth, but this traumatic surgical procedure causes greater damage and requires a longer recovery time (20). During cesarean sections, major

---

**Table III. Comparison of maternal vital signs between the two groups at different time-points.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>T1</th>
<th>T2</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SBP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ropivacaine group</td>
<td>135.97±5.67</td>
<td>126.07±5.17$^b$</td>
<td>125.94±4.34$^b$</td>
<td>126.01±4.15$^b$</td>
<td>334.82</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levobupivacine group</td>
<td>136.24±5.72</td>
<td>128.64±5.47$^{ab}$</td>
<td>123.86±4.02$^{ab}$</td>
<td>127.59±4.26$^{ad}$</td>
<td>331.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ropivacaine group</td>
<td>84.92±4.28</td>
<td>82.16±5.04</td>
<td>84.86±4.42</td>
<td>84.37±4.65</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levobupivacine group</td>
<td>85.04±4.68</td>
<td>84.57±4.52$^{ab}$</td>
<td>87.53±4.88$^{ab}$</td>
<td>79.54±3.83$^{ab}$</td>
<td>166.51</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HR (bpm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ropivacaine group</td>
<td>88.64±13.27</td>
<td>84.17±12.30$^b$</td>
<td>80.24±13.86$^b$</td>
<td>76.34±12.53$^b$</td>
<td>52.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levobupivacine group</td>
<td>88.29±14.13</td>
<td>82.54±14.86$^{ab}$</td>
<td>89.62±13.42$^{ab}$</td>
<td>92.53±13.65$^{ad}$</td>
<td>26.57</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^aP<0.05$ compared with the same group at T1; $^bP<0.05$ compared with ropivacaine group at T2; $^cP<0.05$ compared with ropivacaine group at T3; $^dP<0.05$ compared with ropivacaine group at T4. Values are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Time-points: T1, pre-analgesia; T2, 30 min after analgesia; T3, at the time of delivery; T4, during maternal wound suturing. SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HR, heart rate; bpm, beats per minute.
bleeding may occur, which may cause secondary damage to other organs in the abdominal cavity, and urinary tract infections or endometriosis may develop after surgery (21). Furthermore, the fetus does not experience extrusion through the birth canal or exposure to its bacteria, resulting in poorer natural immunity than for infants born vaginally. In clinical practice, vaginal delivery is recommended if possible (22). Reduction of pain during labor and vaginal delivery is the focus of current clinical research. The most common safe and effective method in clinical practice is to perform combined spinal-epidural anesthesia during labor and to reduce pain by continuous or intermittent injection of local anesthetic drugs through an intraspinal catheter (23). Furthermore, as anesthetic drugs are frequently accompanied by adverse reactions during labor, the clinical dosage of combined spinal-epidural anesthesia requires to be strictly controlled, and maternal females still experience changes in their contractions during delivery. At present, ropivacaine and levobupivacaine are the most common analgesic drugs. However, the value of these two analgesic drugs for labor and delivery remains controversial. Therefore, the present study provides a reference for the use of analgesic drugs during childbirth that may be used in future clinical trials.

The results of the present retrospective study indicated that the group receiving combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine achieved analgesia faster than the group receiving combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with levobupivacaine. Compared with levobupivacaine, ropivacaine had a faster analgesic onset time and was more beneficial, with fewer side effects for the mother and a reduced rate of cesarean section.

Through the combined spinal-epidural anesthesia, the maternal labor pain, fear during childbirth and postpartum fatigue are greatly reduced, so that the patient may be delivered naturally. A study by Wang et al (24) indicated that nerve block anesthesia affects the mother’s ability to actively hold her breath and the pelvic floor muscle tension is reduced, affecting the internal rotation and head flexion of the fetus. Therefore, the probability of the fetus becoming transverse or remaining in an occipitoposterior position is increased. The length of labor is prolonged and the rate of cesarean section naturally increases. In the present study, the cesarean section rate was 24.24% in the levobupivacaine group, which validates the above point of view. Ropivacaine is less toxic to the heart than levobupivacaine, and it has a lighter neuromotor block effect (25). Combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine has a lesser influence on the cervical and vaginal motor nerves in the lower uterine segment, which decreases the birth canal resistance. The cervix opens and the fetal head descends, thus significantly increasing the success rate of vaginal delivery. In the present study, the cesarean section rate in the ropivacaine group was only 4.09%. Ropivacaine has strong reactivity with α1-acid glycoprotein in the human body (26), which may further ensure fetal safety and reduce the need for surgery. This is also consistent with the results of Xiao et al (27). Ropivacaine is a long-acting amide local anesthetic with a stronger ability to inhibit nerve conduction in pain-sensing fibers, but it has a lesser effect on the central nervous system and the cardiovascular system (18). Therefore, low-concentration medications not only provide effective analgesia but also affect other system functions to a lesser extent. In the present study, SBP, DBP and HR all remained stable after analgesia in the ropivacaine group and were significantly better than those in the levobupivacaine group. There was no significant difference in the neonatal asphyxia rate or Apgar scores between the two groups, and the values were in accordance with the normal rates and scores, which indicated that neither drug affected the fetus. Thus, the two drugs have good applicability. Regarding the incidence of PDPH after anesthesia in the two groups, there was no significant difference between the ropivacaine group and the levobupivacaine group, suggesting that there is no significant difference between the effects of the two anesthetic drugs on the mother. The two drugs are suitable for anesthesia for delivery.

In summary, the use of combined spinal-epidural anesthesia with ropivacaine or levobupivacaine had an excellent analgesic effect and improved safety for childbirth. However, compared with levobupivacaine, ropivacaine has a faster effect of labor analgesia and a lesser impact on maternal vital signs, and effectively reduced the rate of cesarean section; therefore, it is worth promoting its clinical use.

However, there were certain limitations to the present study, including the relatively young population. Ropivacaine intolerance may occur in mothers >30 years old, since ropivacaine has toxic effects on the cardiovascular system. When the blood concentration is too high, cardiac conduction and myocardial contractility may be inhibited. The bodily functions, including

---

Table IV. Comparison of pregnancy outcomes between the two groups of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Ropivacaine group (n=318)</th>
<th>Levobupivacine group (n=297)</th>
<th>χ² or t</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean section rate</td>
<td>13 (4.09)</td>
<td>68 (22.89)</td>
<td>54.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal asphyxia rate</td>
<td>2 (0.63)</td>
<td>3 (1.01)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal Apgar score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 min</td>
<td>9.82±0.42</td>
<td>9.78±0.51</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 5 min</td>
<td>9.12±0.84</td>
<td>9.23±0.73</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDPH incidence</td>
<td>56 (17.61)</td>
<td>62 (20.88)</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation or n (%). PDPH, post-dural puncture headache.
blood flow, immunity and rehabilitation ability, of older pregnant females are generally worse than those of the cohort of the present study. Therefore, longer-term follow-up surveys on the subjects of the present study will be performed with continuous refinement and improvement of the assessments and analyses.
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